



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. O. Dex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/806,360	03/29/2001	Suruliappa Gowper Jeganathan	<u></u>	1176	
324	7590 08/29/2003			14	
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 540 WHITE PLAINS RD			WALKE, AMANDA C		
P O BOX 20				*	
_	VN, NY 10591-9005	. 3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•		1752		
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ge				
17		Application N		Applicant(s)					
~.¢		09/806,360		JEGANATHAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Amanda C Walk	e	1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspond nce address									
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 J	<u>une 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-f	inal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims A) M. Claim(a) 1.10.13.14 and 45 in/one panding in the application									
-	4) Claim(s) 1-10,12,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
. A.C.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, ,	· ·	, ,					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
_	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		5 LL O O O O 440(-)	(4)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Birbaum et al (5,597,854). Column and line citations are for the U.S. Patent.

Birbaum et al disclose a silver halide photographic material containing a stabilizer meeting the structural limitations of the present claims (column 29, lines 39-51, "14.", column 34, lines 31-65). The stabilizers are employed for stabilizing organic materials against the harmful effects of light, oxygen, and/or heat (see abstract). The compound may be added to a layer containing a UV absorber such as a protective layer or a layer between the red and green sensitive emulsion layers (an interlayer). The green sensitive emulsion layer contains a magenta coupler which is a pyrazolopyrazole, pyrazolotriazole, or pyrazolotetrazole (column 34, line 1 to column 35, line 57). From the weight of the polymer coating composition in column 59, and the teaching in column 29 that the stabilizer may be added in an amount of 0.1 to 5 % by wt of the polymer (s), the amount of stabilizer added to the layer (s) would fall within the scope of the present claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/806,360

Art Unit: 1752

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birbaum et al in view of Hinsken et al (4,325,863).

Birbaum et al has been discussed above, but fails to specifically describe a compound meeting the limitations of the present formula VI. The reference cites Hinsken et al as describing suitable benxofuranone compounds. Those exemplified by Hinsken et al teach that the present R2 and R4 groups may contain pentyl groups (as in the present formula IV) and teach that they are equivalent to butyl groups (see compound 8).

Given the teaching of Hinsken et al that suitable substituents for positions R2 and R4 include pentyl groups, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of Birbaum et al using the benzofuranone compounds described in"14" of column 29 replacing the butyl group with a pentyl group given that they are taught to be equivalent by the reference, with reasonable expectation of forming a material having increased protection against light, oxygen, and/or heat.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that the benzofuranone compounds of Birbaum have not been taught to function as oxidized developer scavengers. As discussed above, the compounds of Birbaum appear to meet the structural limitations of the present claims, thus it is the position of

Application/Control Number: 09/806,360

Art Unit: 1752

the examiner that when added to the material these compounds would function in the same manner as those presently claimed regardless of the purpose of addition given by the reference.

Absent evidence to the contrary, the examiner maintains her rejection.

Applicant has also argued that the examiner has used impermissible hindsight in formulating the 102 rejection as the reference's teaching include a great number of compounds. However, the reference lists only 14 different types of stabilizer compounds although many compounds may fall under each of the 14 categories. It is the examiner's position that one of ordinary skill in the art would have immediately envisaged using a compound from any of the 14 different categories, thus the examiner maintains her position.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C Walke whose telephone number is 703-305-0407. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Baxter can be reached on 703-308-2303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308/0661.

manda C Walke

Examiner Art Unit 1752

ACW

August 25,2003

) JANET BAXTÉI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700